

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,193	09/04/2001	Hideaki Yamanaka	110538	1200
25944	7590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC		RICKMAN,	HOLLY C
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	1773

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The second of th	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Evaminar Initiated Interview Summary	09/944,193	YAMANAKA ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	after final
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tarik Nabi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 March 2004	Time: <u>2 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Exr. contacted Mr. Nabi to inform him that the verified translations of the foreign priority documents were not received (the after final response filed 2/6/04 indicated that the translations were attached). Mr. Nabi stated that the translations had been sent but would be faxed again.

